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Abstract: The paper deals with the syntax of analytic causative constructions with infinitival and subjunctive complementation. The study puts special emphasis on the syntactic position of the embedded subject (sensitive to the type of complement selected by the causative verb) and delves into the micro-parametric variation of Catalan, Spanish, and Romanian causatives. Sound empirical evidence indicates that Romance causatives are built on two different underlying structures: one involves complex predicate formation; the other Exceptional Case Marking of the embedded subject by the causative verb. We argue in favour of (i) treating the complex faire-infinitive construction as an instance of restructuring, in which faire is a restructuring verb and the infinitive a defective domain (Catalan), and (ii) analyzing the causative constructions with pre-infinitival subjects in Spanish and Romanian as cases of Exceptional Case Marking (in Chomsky’s 2000 and 2001 framework).
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1. Introduction

In Romance languages, causative verbs that take infinitival complementation are compatible with two types of analytic constructions. In the first configuration, available in all Western Romance languages (see (1a-d, f)), the causative verb and the embedded infinitive form a complex predicate, a process that has obvious effects on the assignment of Case to the arguments of this complex. This construction is also known in the literature as the faire-infinitive (FI) construction (since Kayne’s 1975 pioneer work on French causatives). In the second configuration (found only in Spanish (1e), Portuguese (1g) and Romanian (1h)), the causative verb takes as its complement an embedded clause with SVO word order, in which the embedded subject precedes the infinitive and is Case-marked by the causative predicate, as we will see in the following section where the Case patterns will be identified through the test of cliticization.

(1) a. Vaig fer comprar un cotxe a la Maria. (Catalan)  
made buy-INF a car to the Maria  
‘I made Maria buy a car.’

b. Gianni ha fatto riparare la macchina a Mario. (Italian)  
Gianni has made repair-INF the car to Mario  
‘Gianni has made Mario repair the car.’

c. Pierre a fait manger la tarte à Jean. (French)  
Pierre made eat-INF the cake to Jean  
‘Peter made Jean eat the cake.’
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The present paper discusses the possibility of reducing the variation among Romance analytic causative constructions with non-finite complementation to two configurations: one in which the causative and the embedded verb involve a restructuring mechanism that creates a “verbal complex” with its own thematic and Case properties, and a second one in which the causative verb behaves as an Exceptional Case Marking (ECM) verb that takes as its complement a clause and assigns accusative Case to the embedded subject. We defend the proposal that Romance languages divide into three classes: Catalan, Italian, and French present the first configuration, Spanish and Portuguese are compatible with the two types and Romanian is restricted to the ECM construction.

Our study focuses on data coming from Catalan, Spanish, and Romanian since they are representative of each group. The causative verb in the three languages is compatible with both infinitival and subjunctive complements. We will mainly deal with the FI constructions in the case of Catalan and Spanish, because they present restructuring effects; in the case of Romanian, we will analyze the most productive causative construction nowadays, that in which the causative verb takes a subjunctive complement. Constructions built on causative verbs that take infinitival complementation have become obsolete in present-day Romanian.

The goal of the paper is threefold: (i) to show that the causative verb in the faire-infinitive construction belongs to the class of restructuring predicates (Wurmbrand 2001, and subsequent work, Cinque 1998, 1999 and 2006, Svensonius 2008), (ii) to propose an ECM analysis for those constructions in which the embedded subject precedes the

---

2 Portuguese inflected infinitives have a special behaviour. When the causative verb takes an inflected infinitive as its complement, the embedded subject is assigned nominative by the infinitive, and the causative verb cannot exceptional Case mark it (see the analyses in Gonçalves 1999, Martins 2001, 2006).
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infinitive/subjunctive verb (Torrego 1998 and 2010, Tubino Blanco 2011), (iii) to relate the availability of the SVO word order in the complement of causative verbs in Spanish to a general property of this language of providing itself with a second position for the subject (the VOS sequence) through object shift (Gallego 2007, 2010, 2013).

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we offer a brief overview of the data. The empirical evidence offered in this section supports the proposal of two different configurations for the Romance causatives. In section 3, we introduce the notion of restructuring in the context of causative constructions and demonstrate that Catalan and Spanish FI are instances of this syntactic process. In section 4, we explain the ECM constructions licensed by Spanish and Romanian causative verb ‘to make’. The conclusions are summarized in section 5.

2. The data

This section will be mainly descriptive and intended to introduce the reader to the cross-linguistic variation in the area of Romance causatives, with special focus on the three languages already mentioned in the introduction. We will present the distributional properties of the subject in the infinitival (or subjunctive) complement and specify the Case it bears when the embedded verb presents different transitivity patterns. We resort to cliticization as a Case test for delimiting the Case-marking alternations of the verbal arguments.

2.1 Catalan


(2) a. El Joan va fer comprar un llibre a la Maria. (embedded transitive)
   the Joan made buy-INF a book to the Maria
   ‘Joan made Maria buy a book.’

b. *El Joan va fer la Maria comprar un llibre.
   the Joan made the Maria buy-INF a book
   ‘Joan made Maria buy a book.’

(3) a. Vaig fer córrer en Lluís. (embedded unergative)
   made run-INF the Lluís
   ‘I made Lluís run.’

b. *Vaig fer en Lluís córrer.
   made the Lluís run.INF
   ‘I made Lluís run.’

(4) a. El Joan va fer venir la Maria. (embedded unaccusative)
   the Joan made come-INF the Maria
   ‘Joan made Maria come.’

b. *El Joan va fer la Maria venir.
   the Joan made the Mary come-INF
   ‘Joan made Maria come.’
The infinitive seems to share its arguments with the causative verb and Case reflects this unification of argument structure. The subject of the infinitive is sensitive to the transitivity of the embedded verb. Since a verb can assign accusative only once in a transitive configuration and this Case is already given to the direct object of the infinitive, the subject surfaces as an indirect object (always marked by the preposition *a* (see the analysis of Kayne 2004 for the preposition *a* in the context of French causatives) and is assigned dative by the entire verbal complex. The embedded subject is assigned dative Case when the embedded infinitive is transitive and accusative otherwise. The possibility of clitic climbing, illustrated in (5a-d) shows that both the embedded subject and the direct object must cliticize on the causative verb.

\[(5)\]

\[\begin{align*}
\text{a.} & \quad \text{El } \text{Joan}\text{ li } \text{va fer comprar un llibre.} \\
& \quad \text{the Joan CL.3SG.F.DAT made buy-INF a book} \\
& \quad \text{‘Joan made her buy a book.’} \\
\text{b.} & \quad \text{L’hi } \text{vaig fer comprar (el llibre).} \\
& \quad \text{CL.3SG.M.ACC CL.3SG.M.DAT made buy-INF (the book)} \\
& \quad \text{‘I made him buy it.’} \\
\text{c.} & \quad \text{El vaig fer còrrer.} \\
& \quad \text{CL.3SG.M.ACC made run-INF} \\
& \quad \text{‘I made him run.’} \\
\text{d.} & \quad \text{La va fer venir.} \\
& \quad \text{CL.3SG.F.ACC made come-INF} \\
& \quad \text{‘He made her come.’} \\
\text{e.} & \quad \text{*Van fer ballar- } \text{la.} \\
& \quad \text{made dance-INF CL.3SG.F.ACC} \\
& \quad \text{‘They made her dance.’} \\
\text{f.} & \quad \text{*El Joan va fer venir- } \text{la.} \\
& \quad \text{(Villalba 1992: 361)} \\
& \quad \text{the Joan made come-INF CL.3SG.F.ACC} \\
& \quad \text{‘Joan made her come.’}
\end{align*}\]

\[2.2 \text{ Spanish}\]

The same reasoning presented above goes for the Spanish causative construction with post-infinitival subjects (Bordelois 1974, Cano Aguilar 1981, Treviño 1992 and 1994, Moore 1996, Torrego 1998, Hernanz 1999, Ordóñez 2008, Torrego 2010, Tubino Blanco 2011). The embedded subject cliticizes on the causative verb, never on the infinitive. It is assigned dative or accusative depending on the transitivity of the embedded verb. However, as already mentioned in the introduction, Spanish presents another position in which the infinitival subject can be merged. Consider the following examples:

---

\[3\] Italian patterns with Catalan in this respect too. Guasti (2007: 146) shows that the following contrast is due to the impossibility of placing the clitic after the infinitive.

\[(i)\] Elena lo fa lavorare /'Elena fa lavorarlo.
& ‘Elena makes him work.’

\[(ii)\] Questo treno li fa arrivare in ritardo./’Questo treno fa arrivarli in ritardo.
& ‘This train makes them arrive late.’
(6) a. Juan (le) hizo abrir la puerta (a Pedro/a María).
   Juan CL.3SG.M/F.DAT made open-INF the door (to Pedro/to María)
   ‘John made him/her open the door.’
   b. Juan hizo a Pedro abrir la puerta. (embedded transitive, Treviño
      Juan made to Pedro open-INF the door 1992: 310)
      ‘Juan made Pedro open the door.’
   c. Juan le/lo hizo abrir la puerta.
      Juan CL.3SG.M.ACC made open-INF the door
      ‘Juan made him open the door.’
   d. Hizo a Sofía leer en voz alta el documento. (NGLE 2010: 504)
      made to Sofia read-INF in voice loud the document
      ‘He made Sophie read the document loudly.’
   e. La hizo leer el documento.
      CL.3SG.F.ACC made read-INF the document
      ‘He made her read the document.’

In (6a) the causee surfaces with dative Case and can be doubled or replaced by the dative
clitic le. On the contrary, in (6c, e) the pre-infinitival subject cliticizes, again, on the main
verb, but this time as an accusative. This may suggest that in the constructions (6b-e) we
actually deal with two Case-marking domains. The embedded subject gets its case from
the causative verb as in the ECM cases4.

The idea of two underlying structures for the faire-causatives in Spanish cannot be
posited so easily for those constructions built on embedded intransitives. The subject
always cliticizes on the main causative verb, and surfaces with an accusative form (see
(7) and (8) below).

(7) a. Juan hizo correr a Pedro. (embedded unergative)
   Juan made run-INF to Pedro
   ‘Juan made Pedro run.’
   b. Juan hizo a Pedro correr.
   Juan made to Pedro run-INF
   ‘Juan made Pedro run.’
   c. Lo hizo correr.
   CL.3SG.M.ACC made run-INF
   ‘John made him run.’

(8) a. Hizo llegar tarde a María. (embedded unaccusative)
   made arrive-INF late to María
   ‘He made María arrive late.’
   b. Hizo a María llegar tarde.
   made to María arrive-inf late
   ‘He made María arrive late.’

4 The availability of a clitic le in the ECM structure, even though having dative morphology, doesn’t seem to
represent a problem. We believe this clitic le is not a bona-fide dative clitic. It tends to behave as in the case
of ‘Le/Lo mató’ (He killed him), where it receives structural accusative Case.
c. **La** hizo llegar tarde.
   CL.3SG.F.ACC made arrive-INF late
   ‘He made her arrive late.’

However, an interesting observation, illustrated with the data in (9), is the impossibility of the clitics being attached to the unergative/unaccusative infinitive. This captures very nicely the idea that *hacer* has the force to assign accusative Case and attracts the clitics to the matrix domain.

(9) a. **La** hicieron bailar /*hicieron bailarla*
   CL.3SG.F.ACC made dance-INF made dance-INF CL.3SG.F.ACC
   (a Julia). (Hernanz 1999: 2249)
   (to Julia)
   ‘They made her dance.’

b. **La** hizo llegar tarde. /*Hizo llegar la tarde.
   CL.3SG.F.ACC made arrive-INF late made arrive-INF CL.3SG.F.ACC late
   ‘He made her arrive late.’

The behaviour of the internal argument of the infinitive may provide us with an answer for the two structures we are trying to sketch. The embedded object may sometimes cliticize on *hacer* or may remain *in situ*. When the subject precedes the infinitive, the clitic corresponding to the internal argument of the infinitival clause is precluded from climbing, the infinitival subject acting as an intervener (Ordóñez 2008). This may be supporting evidence for postulating an ECM configuration in (10a, c) which seems to present two Case-marking domains.

(10) a. Hizo a Leonardo construir **la**.  
   (Treviño 1992: 316)  
   made to Leonardo build-INF CL.3SG.F.ACC
   ‘He made Leonardo build it.’

b. *La* hizo a Leonardo construir.  
   (Treviño 1992: 316)
   ‘He made to Leonardo build it.’

c. (El campamento), el sargento hace a los soldados
   (the camp) the sergeant makes to the soldiers
   limpiar **lo** todas las mañanas.  
   (Torrego 2010: 451)  
   clean-INF CL.3SG.M.ACC every the morning
   ‘The camp, the sergeant makes the soldiers clean it every morning.’

d. *El* sargento **lo** hace a los soldados limpiar.
   ‘The sergeant makes the soldiers clean it.’

e. **Se** lo 5 hizo comer a Leonardo.6
   CL.3SG.M.DAT CL.3M.SG.ACC made eat-INF to Leonardo.
   ‘He made Leonardo eat it.’

f. *Se* lo hizo a Leonardo comer.
   ‘He made Leonardo eat it.’

---

5 Spanish disallows the clitic combination *le + lo* (see Bonet 1994, 1995, Ordóñez 2002, etc.).

6 I would like to thank Maria Lluïsa Hernanz (p.c.) for bringing to my attention the contrast in 10 (e, f).
Lallo cannot climb up to the matrix verb (in 10a-d) because it would find in its way to that position an element that forbids it to move higher up in the structure. This problem is not present in the restructuring configurations (in 10e-f) in which the infinitive is rendered transparent and the dative clitic co-occurs with the causee within the same configuration.

2.3 Romanian

Romanian (Uşurelu 2005, GALR 2008) seems to pattern with English and Spanish causatives. We claim that its causative constructions present ECM effects, as previously noticed by Rivero (1991) for other Romanian verbs.

The subject precedes the infinitive/subjunctive, and always cliticizes on the main causative verb. It is always doubled by an accusative clitic and may be marked (when human) by the preposition pe, a preposition typical of the accusative Case7. In (11) the causative selects infinitival complementation, while in (12) the embedded verb is represented in the subjunctive.

(11) a. L- au făcut (pe el) a compune piesa într-o CL.3SG.M.ACC made PE 3SG.M.ACC to compose-INF song-the in an oră. hour

‘They made him compose the song in an hour.’

b. L- au făcut a o compune într-o oră. CL.3SG.M.ACC made to CL.3SG.F.ACC compose-INF in an hour.

‘They made him compose it in an hour.’

c. pre acel al meu ziditoriu [...] a- l uita mă vei PE that mine creator [...] to CL.3SG.M.ACC forget-INF CL.1SG.ACC will face. (Dimitre Cantemir, Divanul, p. 31) make

‘You’ll make me forget my God.’

d. M- a făcut a plângе. CL.1SG.F.ACC made to cry-INF

‘He made me cry.’

e. L- au făcut a pleca. CL.3SG.M.ACC made to leave-INF

‘They made him leave.’

(12) a. Profesoara a făcut-o (pe Maria) să citească paragraful. teacher-THE made CL.3SG.F.ACC PE Maria SĂ read-SUBJ paragraph-THE

‘The teacher made her (Maria) read the paragraph.’

b. A făcut-o să-l citească cu intonație. made CL.3SG.F.ACC SĂ CL.3.SG.M.ACC read-SUBJ with intonation

‘She made her read it with intonation.’

7 See López (2012) for an analysis of the DOM constructions in Spanish and Romanian. See also Cornilesescu (2000) and Chiriacescu and von Heusinger (2010).
Descriptively, there are two important aspects that we handled up to this point: the position of the causee and the micro-parametric variation we are given by the type of the infinitive we have in the subordinate clause (transitive, unergative or unaccusative) which has consequences for case-assignment (inside the infinitival domain). The data above show that Romanian is an instance of ECM. We deal with two Case-marking domains in the case of Romanian. In Catalan and Spanish faire-infinitive, a causativized clause behaves like a single Case-marking domain (see Foll i and Harley 2007: 198, for discussion on Italian causatives). The internal argument of the infinitive becomes the direct object of the causative too, which forces the embedded subject to appear in a post-infinitival position and to be assigned dative case. However, Spanish has a second type of causative construction, as we have seen from the data; Spanish causatives are compatible with two types of syntactic configurations indicated by the pre- and post-infinitival position of the embedded subject. The pre-infinitival causee behaves as an argument of the causative verb which exceptional Case marks it.

### 3. A restructuring approach to the faire-infinitive construction


In line with Hernanz 1999, Wurmbrand (2001 and 2006), and Svenonius (2008), we would like to suggest that causative verbs of the faire type could be a good candidate for the category of restructuring verbs. Since the works of Aissen and Perlmutter (1976), Rizzi (1976, 1978 and 1982), Rouveret and Vergnaud (1980), Burzio (1986), Cinque (1998 and 1999), etc., it has been claimed that certain infinitives that lack clausal

---

8 Such examples are, however, accepted as grammatical by other native speakers of Romanian.
properties create a special relation with the matrix predicate due to their transparent behaviour regarding some processes such as cliticization, passivization, etc. (Wurmbrand 2001, 2004 and 2006). They are therefore inclined to undergo a process of restructuring or verb complex formation (see Wurmbrand 2006 for an overview of the phenomenon of restructuring and references therein). Previous analyses were based on the idea that this process of restructuring transformed a bi-clausal structure into a mono-clausal one.

The phenomenon of restructuring, as we understand it, is the result of a complex structure that involves a main verb selecting for a defective predicate that lacks complementizer and tense properties (following Chomsky 2000 and 2001).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{causer} & \quad \text{v*} \quad \text{VP} \\
\text{v*} & \quad \text{V} \quad \text{v(*)P [defective complement]} \\
\text{make} & \quad \text{causee . . .}
\end{align*}
\]

For a transitive configuration we propose a structure like the one in (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(14) a.} & \quad \text{Vaig fer comprar flors a en Joan. (Catalan)} \\
& \quad \text{‘I made Joan buy flowers.’} \\
\text{b.} & \quad \ldots \quad \text{[vP XP v* [vP fer [vP Joan v [vP comprar flors ]]]]} \ldots \\
\text{c.} & \quad \ldots \quad \text{[TP XP fer [vP comprar flors] [vP a Joan [vP tXP v* [vP tfer [vP tJoan v tVP]]]]]} \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

This analysis resembles Belletti’s (2004) derivation of the VOS sequences in Italian by fronting the VP. Catalan (a language that generates SVO and VOS, but not VSO) also resorts to VP-fronting to derive the VOS sentences (for a clarifying discussion of the properties of the VOS sentences in Romance see Gallego 2010: 217-247 and Gallego 2013).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(15) VP-fronting (adapted from Gallego 2010: 224)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{XP} & \quad \text{[vP V Object]}_i \\
\text{X'} & \quad \text{v*P} \\
\text{X} & \quad \text{v*} \\
\text{Subject} & \quad \text{v*'} \\
& \quad \text{t}_i
\end{align*}
\]
In the next subsections we will present evidence that supports the conclusion that the infinitival complement of the causative verbs is defective. It does not project functional layers, clitics can climb to the matrix verb, and long distance passivization is available in the context of analytic causatives (see Wurmbrand 2001 who uses this test to demonstrate the defectiveness of the infinitival complement).

### 3.1 Causative complements do not include any functional projection

(16) No negation

a. *Vaig fer no contestar la carta a la Berta.* (Catalan, Alsina 2002: 2432-2433)
   'I made Berta not answer the letter.'

b. *Él lo hizo no venir.* (Spanish, Bordelois 1988: 60)
   'He made him not come'

c. *La hizo no trabajar demasiado.* (Spanish, López 2001: 225)
   'He made her not work too much.'

(17) No auxiliaries

a. *El rector va fer haver imaginat el curs abans d’ahir.* (Catalan, Alsina 2002:2432-2433)
   'The rector made have imagined the class two days ago'

b. *Él lo hizo haber venido.* (Spanish, Bordelois 1988: 60)
   'He made him have come'

c. *La hizo haber trabajado demasiado.* (Spanish, López 2001: 225)
   'He made her have worked too much'

(18) No temporal adverbs

a. L’ amo va fer *(avui) cuinar l’ ànec *(demà) a la criada. (Catalan, Torrego 2010: 451)
   'The master made the servant today cook the duck tomorrow to the servant'

b. El sargento hizo a los soldados limpiar el campamento *(mañana).* (Spanish, Torrego 2010: 451)
   'The sergeant made the soldiers clean the camp (tomorrow).'

---


10 However, Spanish, although marginally, allows negation in the embedded clause:

(i) El jefe hizo a sus clientes no divulgar la noticia. (Torrego 2010: 451)
   'The boss made his clients not spread the news.'

(ii) El me hizo no ir. (Treviño 1994: 60)
   'He made me not go.'

We relate this property to the availability of the ECM structure with causative verbs in this language.
3.2 Clitic climbing and passivization

The combinatorial and ordering properties of the clitics have been dealt with in the previous section (subsections 2.1, 2.2). There we concluded that in the case of Catalan and Spanish causatives with post-infinitival causees, the clitics cannot stay in the complement domain. They are forced by some mechanism of restructuring to climb up and attach to the causative verb.

Long distance passivization (long passive in Wurmbrand’s 2001 terms) is available in those structures that present restructuring effects. The infinitive is transparent (as in the case of clitic climbing) and allows the raising of its internal argument to the position of the matrix subject. Spanish, Catalan, French and Italian present this phenomenon, but Romanian precludes the possibility of it, which is easily explained if we take into consideration the different configurations discussed in this paper.

(19) a. El palacio fue hecho reconstruir por el presidente. (Spanish, Treviño 1994: 78)  
   ‘The president had the palace be built again.’

b. El edificio fue hecho derribar (por Juan). (Spanish, Tubino Blanco 2011: 146)  
   ‘The building was caused to be demolished (by Juan).’

(20) a. El pont va ser fet enderrocar per ordre de l’alcalde. (Catalan, Alsina 2002: 2434)  
   ‘The bridge was caused to be demolished by the mayor.’

b. L’església (li) va ser feta restaurar a l’arquitecte Pujals. (Catalan, Alsina 2002: 2434)  
   ‘The architect Pujals was made to restore the church.’

(21) a. Ce banc avait été fait faire pour nous (par mon père). (French, Rowlett 2007: 782)  
   ‘This bench had been done for us by my father.’

b. Le château médiéval à Fontainebleau a été fait construire par Louis. (French, Rowlett 2007: 782)  
   ‘The medieval castle at Fontainebleau was built by Louis.’

(22) Il libro fu fatto leggere a Mario (da Gianni). (Italian)  
   ‘Mario was read the book by Gianni.’

(23) *Cartea a fost făcută (de profesor) să fie citită de studenți. (Romanian)  
   ‘The teacher made the students read the book.’

11 Once cartea ‘the book’ receives accusative Case from the embedded predicate it remains frozen in place and cannot move higher up in the structure (Chomsky 2000).
4. An ECM analysis of the Spanish and Romanian causative constructions

There are contexts in which a matrix predicate is able to Case mark the subject of a complement clause. This type of Case marking exhibited in these constructions is referred to as Exceptional Case Marking (since Postal 1974, Chomsky 1981, 1986, etc.). In section 2, it was proposed that Spanish and Romanian causatives display ECM effects. For the ECM analysis we follow Chomsky (2000 and 2001) in analyzing the infinitival complement as headed by a defective T, which lacks complementizer properties and assigns no Case to its subject. It is clear that hacer takes more than just a VP complement as its complement since the embedded infinitive has a subject. We believe that the embedded infinitive must be a TP, for it allows negation (fn. 8) and hosts clitics (see examples in (10) and Torrego 2010)\textsuperscript{12}.

We propose the following structure:

\begin{align*}
\text{(24) a. } & \text{ Hice a Juan reparar el coche.} \\
\text{b. } & \text{ ... } [_{\text{vP}} \text{ XP } v^* [_{\text{vP}} \text{ hacer }[_{\text{TP}} \text{ a Juan } T [_{\text{vP}} \text{ reparar el coche }]]]] \ldots \\
\text{c. } & \text{ ... } [_{\text{TP}} \text{ XP hacer }[_{\text{vP}} \text{ a Juan } [_{\text{vP}} \text{ tXP } v^* [_{\text{vP}} \text{ t_hacer }[_{\text{TP}} \text{ t_juan } T [_{\text{vP}} \text{ t_juan } v^* [_{\text{vP}} \text{ reparar el coche}]]]]]] \ldots
\end{align*}

The defective complement is selected by the causative verb (V) and the infinitival subject receives Case from the matrix verb. The functional small v that selects V has a complete set of $\phi$ features on the basis of which it functions as a probe. When selected by v, V is $\phi$ complete and enters into a Case/agreement structure. The object (the infinitival subject) agrees with V and is assigned accusative. The Romanian data can be analyzed along the same lines. We take the subjunctive complements to be defective too, similar to the infinitival ones (see Gallego 2010 for an analysis of the subjunctives as ECMs).

We find particularly interesting the fact that Spanish is compatible with the two configurations described above. The possibility of generating the causee in an intermediate position, in between the causative verb and the infinitive, can be related to a more general property of this language, shared with Romanian and Portuguese, of having three possible subject positions in a sentence (corresponding to the three word orders available in these languages, namely SVO, VOS, and VSO). In order to explain this intermediate extra position Spanish (and Romanian) has in causative constructions, we resort to the analysis put forward by Gallego (2010 and 2013) where he claimed that, in Spanish, as opposed to Catalan and Italian, VOS obtains by moving the object to a position that c-commands the subject. All null subject languages (NSLs) allow for the SVO order, but only some of them can display VOS (French cannot, in Italian it is marginal). Gallego concludes that European Portuguese, Galician and Spanish are the only ones that allow the VSO patterns. The availability of VSO in Spanish is related to the fact that this language can generate VOS via Object Shift\textsuperscript{13}.

\textsuperscript{12} We leave open to future research the possibility of having a CP complement under causative verbs in the ECM constructions.
\textsuperscript{13} The possibility of having object shift in Spanish and Romanian is also linked to the availability of DOM in these two languages.
Ordóñez (1998) was the first to notice that Spanish can resort to the strategy of object shift (or scrambling) to derive the VOS order. Object shift presupposes moving the object to a specifier position above the *in situ* subject.

(25) Object shift (adapted from Ordóñez 1998)

\[
\begin{align*}
&v^*P \\
&\text{Object,} \quad v^*P \\
&\text{Subject} \quad v^*, \quad v^* \\
&\text{VP} \quad V \quad t_{\text{Object}}
\end{align*}
\]

Gallego (2010: 265) claims that “if a language L can resort to an object shift-based derivation for VOS, then it licenses the position necessary to generate VSO”. This is also called the **VOS-VSO Generalization** (Gallego 2013: 35). In this way, Spanish provides itself with a third subject position. Catalan and Italian instead lack this third position (see also Ordóñez 2007).

Another author that argues for an object raising analysis in VOS constructions is Alboiu (2002). She demonstrates that, in Romanian, the object NP raises across the *in situ* subject, irrespective of its semantic type. Different phenomena such as binding interactions, lack of weak crossover effects, as well as stranded quantifiers support her analysis. Both Alboiu (2002) and Gallego (2010) analyze this Object Shift/Raising as a case of A-movement.

Ordóñez (2008) also claims that this parametric difference in Spanish causatives, as opposed to Catalan, is related to different possibilities of positioning the subject in the two languages. If languages differ with respect to the possibilities of projection of the post-verbal subjects, it is plausible to believe that we could also have variation with respect to possibilities of placing the causee.

5. Conclusions

This paper addressed the question of micro-parametric variation in Romance analytic causative constructions. It was shown that these constructions are built on two different configurations. Catalan causatives (and Western Romance languages in general) involve a process of restructuring. We treated the *faire*-infinitive as an instance of complex structure that involves a causative verb that takes as its complement a defective complement. We showed that Spanish has, in fact, two types of FI constructions that should receive separate analysis. We argued in favour of an ECM approach for the Spanish and Romanian causative constructions with the embedded subject preceding the infinitive. We also related the availability of the SVO word order in the complement of
causative verbs in Spanish (and Romanian) to a general property of this language of providing itself with a subject position through object shift (Gallego 2010 and 2013).
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